Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
06-395 AUG 5 - 2006
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No._____

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

Willard D. Gross **Petitioner**

V.

Fred Irtz, **Respondent**

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
To The Supreme Court of Kentucky

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Willard D. Gross, pro se
6718 Russell Cave Road
Lexington, KY 40511
(859) 299-6109

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Whether the courts below have the power and authority to deny petitioner his constitutional rights to address the district probate court and his right to raise and be heard on a proper motion he filed with the court. Pursuant to the First, Fifth and Fourteen Amendments of the United States Constitution?

Whether the court's below have the power and authority to deny petitioner his constitutional rights to address the circuit court and his right to raise and be heard on two proper motions he filed before the circuit court. Pursuant to the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution?

Whether a Kentucky judge's order is a valid order when she fails to sign her order pursuant the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution?

Whether the court's below can deny petitioner's motion's under KRS 395.610 at least three (3) times for a full periodic accounting of C.P. Gross' Estate and go for 9 years without filing any periodic accounting under KRS 395.610?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

Only the party names in the caption.

TABLE 0F CONTENTS

	page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEESING	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITES	iv
OPINION BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	1
CONCISE STATEMENT OF CASE	2
REASON FOR GRANTING	17
CONCLUSION	27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

First Amendment to U.S. Constitution_____

i-27-25-24-18-14-6

Fifth Amendment to U.S. Constitution_____

i-27-25-24-18-14-6

Fourteenth Amendment to U.S. Constitution _ i- iv

STATUTE

28 U.S.C.A. Section 1257_____1

OPINION BELOW

The opinion of the Kentucky Supreme Court is reproduced in the appendix at Pet. App. 1 the opinion of the Kentucky Court of Appeals is reproduced in the appendix Pet. App. 2.

JURISDICTION

The order denying Discretionary Review by the Kentucky Supreme Court was entered on May 10, 2006 This court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1257.

CONCISE STATEMENT OF CASE

On the 4 th day of April 2005 Fred Irtz certified that the filed a Motion for reduction of Bond. (1) that all of the assets of this Estate have been distributed to the beneficiaries for which an accounting has been made and approved by the court. (2) that accounting to his proposed final settlement, filed with the court on April 26, 2004, he retained in his hands less than $30,000.00 dollars.

Fred Irtz is not bragging about, that he distributed to himself $131,000.00 dollars as administrator. The most money that Fred Irtz could distributed to himself, legally was 5% of the personal estate of C.P. Gross. Fred Irtz has paid himself 5 or 6 times more than he could receive legally.

Fred Irtz gets a bank statement for the estate every Month. Fred Irtz, in his final settlement he filed with the probate court on April 26, 2004. Attorney Fred Irtz, his attorney Robert Monk, Attorney Tom Burnett, representing Laura Gross' appointed executors, Probate Judge Kevin Horne, all four entered in a conspiracy to rob the estate of C.P. Gross by leaving $25.578.88 of the widow Laura Gross' money in C.P. Gross' estate.

The plan for Fred Irtz to write a check on C.P. Gross' estate for $25,578.88 and Laura's $25,578.88 money was gone.Willard, with his Bookkeeper found this criminal action within in one hour. Fred Irtz bragged that he was a $200.00 per hour accountant and would not work for less. He must have known that this $25.578.88, was listed in the estate's bank account.

Instead of counting this money in the estate's bank, Fred entered into a conspiracy with Judge Horne, Fred's attorney Robert Monk, Tom Burnett, attorney for Laura Gross' estate, to rob the estate's bank account for this money.

In this action, under Title 18 Sections 1341 (1) (2) and Section 1344, Fred Irtz has committed intent to rob a Bank using the United States Mail.

On May 3, 2004, Willard move the court for a continues for Fred Irtz to file a periodic account of the Estate and time for Willard to have an audit of the estate. Willard was overruled.

On May 3 2004, Willard ask Judge Horne when he could get the courts final order approving Fred Irtz proposed final settlement. Judge Horne stated he could have the order right now that he had already signed them. Judge ask Carla Million his clerk, to get Mr. Gross a copy of his order. The looks started between Judge Horne, Carla Million and Fred Irtz.

Judge Horne stated that Fred Irtz was going to have some change in the order and Willard could get the order by mail. The change to be made was to change Judge Horne's signed order to read instead of $52,177.46 to read $77,756.44 due for Laura' estate.

Willard has exposed five conspirators including Carla Million, intending to rob C.P. Gross estate by Fred Irtz in issuing a bank check for $25,578.88, on C.P. Gross' estate. This money belong to Laura Gross' Estate.

All attorneys should be disbarred, fined and placed in Jail. Carla Million should be fired and be barred from having a government job. She has cost thousands of people their inheritance while she has been working in the Fayette county probate court.

Attorney Fred Irtz on page five of his Partial Settlement and Proposed Final Settlement knowingly signed a false sworn statements when he gave his oath.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY 0F FAYETTE)

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct statement of the amounts received and paid out by me as Administrator w/w/a of the estate of Caleb P. Gross, deceased for the period beginning May 2,1997, and ending on this date April 26, 2004 that the reconstruction of the previous Executor's actions appear to be as represented but is not being warranted.

_____
Frederick G Irtz II
Administrator, w/w/a

Subscribed in my presence, and sworn to before me by Fredrick G. Irtz, II, Administrator w/w/a on April 26, 2004. My Commission expires 24 January 2007.

Patricia Ann Turner

_____
NOTARY PUBLIC, State at Large Kentucky.

When Fred Irtz gave his false sworn statement on 4/ 26, 2004 Fred Irtz set up the intended robbery of C.P. Gross' Estate. If Willard had not got Advanced Tax service, Fred Irtz and his conspirators would have got away with their Federal Bank robbery of the Central Bank of Lexington, KY.

Under USC Title18 Section 1341 (1) (2) and USC Title 18 Section 1344 Fred Irtz has committed intended Bank Fraud and committed Mail Fraud.

Fred Irtz has done a lot of crooked financial actions that he has committed in the estate of C. P. Gross. Judge Horne in this case has overruled all of Willard D. Gross' Motions for periodic accountings under KRS 395.610 and other Motions, this to cover up Fred's Irtz and Judge Horne's and others crooked activities.

In Kentucky you must have records, before you can find all of the crooked actions Fred Irtz have made. Fred Irtz has gone for 9 years with out filing a periodic accounting in this case. Under KRS 395.610. A Periodic accounting is due every year, On April 11, 2005, Willard Gross filed a Response to Fred Irtz's Motion for Reduction of Bond, filed on April 4, 2005. With this Response Willard D. Gross filed a

MOTION FOR FRED IRTZ TO BE PLACED ON THE WITNESS STAND TO PROVE HE IS THE LEGAL APPOINTED FIDUCIARY OF C.P. GROSS' ESTATE.

This Motion and Willard D. Gross' Response was to be heard on April 14, 2005. Willard serviced this Response and Motion to Attorney Fred Irtz on April 11, 2005. Both, Response and Motion was typed in Capital Letters.

On April 14, 2005, the probate judge had a hearing on Fred Irtz's Motion for Reduction of Bond. Willard moved Judge Ransdell to raise Fred's bond. Under the reason that Fred's appointment as administrator was a fraud.

Ever action that Fred has taken in this case has been taken by Fraud. Court's has denied Willard a hearing for the termination of Fred's appointment from May 2, 1997. To this present day. Willard and all participation heirs have filed at least 10 Motions for the termination of Fred's unlawful appointment. Then district court Julia Tackett, District Judge Kevin Horne and now district Judge Maria Ransdell, all three judges has denied Willard a hearing for the termination

of the unlawful appointment of Fred Irtz as administrator C. P. Gross' Estate.

These Judges and others including Sheila Isaac has violated Willard's 1st and 5th Amendments of the United States Constitution. Access to the Court's and Due Process of Law.

On April 4, 2005. Fred Irtz, Filed a Motion for Reduction of Bond. On April 11, 2005, Willard filed a Response to Fred's Motion and filed a,

MOTION FOR FRED IRTZ TO BE PLACED ON THE WITNESS STAND TO PROVE HE IS THE LEGAL APPOINTED FIDUCIARY OF C.P. GROSS' ESTATE.

To be heard on April 14, 2005.

Willard had a certified transcript made of this hearing and filed the original with the probate court on May 10, 2005. When Willard tried to raise his Motion before the court on April 14, 2005, District Probate Judge Maria Ransdell, gave the following reason for not allowing Willard to raise his Motion before the court. Fred Irtz, was present

On Page 18 L 9 through. 13. Judge Ransdell states: "No sir. You did not file a motion for a hearing. There nothing in writing that says you filed a motion for a hearing. You filed a response to their motion to reduce the bond.

On page 18 L 14 through. 17. Mr. Gross: " But I also filed a motion for Fred Irtz to be placed on the witness stand to prove he is the legally appointed Fiduciary of C. P. Gross' estate.

On page 16 L 17 through. 19. Mr. Gross: Your Honor, I would like to ask you about this. I've got the motion here. Are you denying my motion to-(be heard)

On page 16 L 20 & 21 The Court: " You don't have the motion on the table, sir. The motion is...

On page 16 L 22 & 23. Mr. Gross: Yes I have a Motion.

On page 16 L 24 & 25 The Court: "You have a response to the motion. Their motion is to reduce the bond"

On page 9 L 9 & 10 Mr. Gross: I don't know whether you read my—(pleading)

On page 9 L 11 The Court: I did, sir.

On page 19 L 10 & 11 Mr. Gross: Let me ask one more question?

On page 19 L 12, 13, & 14 The Court: No, sir. no more questions will be entertained. I've got other Matter. Thanks for your courtesy.

In the above actions Judge Ransdell, denied Willard D. Gross, his CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS to raise his properly filed MOTION before the court. Thereby, denying Willard his rights to ADDRESS THE COURT, his EQUAL ACCESS to the court and DUE PROCESS of law. Willard has been denied ACCESS to the Courts since May 2, 1997, when Fred Irtz received his forged appointment as Fiduciary of C. P. Gross' Estate, to the present day.

May 2, 1997 was the day District Judge Julia Tackett Appointment Fred Irtz as Successor Executor with a forged Order, This Order was not only forged, the order was in violation of ever KRS statute that is pertaining to the

Appointment as Fiduciary of C. P. Gross' Estate. Motion for the termination of Fred Irtz unlawful Appointment.

The courts has refused to allow Willard to raise any of his 10 motions before the courts. The reason, the courts will not allow Willard's Motions to be heard before the court, is the courts knows that Fred Irtz's Orders of appointing as Fiduciary of C. P. are forged documents.

Willard D. Gross filed a motion requesting the recusal of District Judge Maria Ransdell, for her violations of Willard' constitutional rights. His right to be heard, his Access to the court, his Due Process of Law. Under the 1st and 5tAmendments of the United States Constitution. On April 19, 2005, Judge Ransdell issued an Order OVERRULED Willard's Motion. Willard appealed this Order. ***(See Exhibit 5)***

Willard filed his Statement of Appeal on June 16, 2005 Willard received a Case History IN RE: THE ESTATE OF CALEB P. GROSS, on July 19, 2005 8:29:27 AM. The Case History shows that the last entry was Willard's entry

on June 16, 2005. Willard received Fred's counter statement for service on the afternoon June 19, 2005.

Generated 07/19/2005 8:29:27 AM

CASE HISTORY

IN RE: The Estate of Caleb P. Gross Jul 19 2005
Case # 05-XX-00023
County Fayette
Court Circuit court
Judge Hon. Pamela Goodwine

05/18/2005	Case Files APPEAL CIVIL
05/18/2005	Document Filed Notice of Appeal APS From District Probate with record #91-P-1247
06/16/2005	Document Filed Statement of Appeal APS

The case file shows the last document filed was Willard's Statement of Appeal APS on June 16, 2005. Fred Irtz service was four days late.

On July 22, 2005 Willard filed a "Motion to dismiss Mr. Irtz Counter Statement of Appeal" on the grounds of late filing and late service of his counter statement of appeal under CR 72.12 Motion to be heard on August 12, 2005.

On August 1st 2005, Fred Irtz filed a "Response to Motion to Dismiss Counter Statement of Appeal" The best that Fred could do was, request the court, that he be permitted to file a corrected certificate of service for his Counter-Statement to read July 18, 2005 instead of July 15, 2005.

This case was assigned Circuit Judge Pamela Goodwine. On July 28, 2005, She issued an Order of Submission. On August 1, 2005, Willard filed a Motion to dismiss Order of Submission to be heard on August 5, 2005. Willard now has Three or four Motions before the court.

(1) Motion to recuse District Judge Randsdell, to be heard on August 12, 2006.

(2) Motion to dismiss Fred's Irtz's Counter-Statement. To be heard on August 12.

(2) Motion for disqualification of Circuit Judge Pamela Goodwine's filed on August 8, 2005. Motion to be heard on August 12, 2005, on the grounds that Judge Pamela Goodwine is not neutral and detached in this case.

(4) Motion To dismiss Order of Submission to be heard

(5) on August 5, 2005. Willard D. Gross, showed up to court on August 5. 2005. Judge Goodwine refused to allow Willard to address court. She OVERRULED Willard's motion to dismiss her Order of Submission.

WILLARD'S ADDRESS TO THE COURT
A PROPER COURT

A proper Court is a court that follows the rules and statutes of law. This court is a court that follows attorney Fred Irtz and a clerk by the name of S. Davenport. They have led this court to issue an Order of Submission while there is motions before the court one to dismiss Fred Irtz's counter-statement and a motion to disqualify District Judge Ransdell. The Order of Submission was issued to allow Circuit Judge Goodwine to Dismiss or Overrule these motions without holding a hearing.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Willard, again moved this court to recuse itself. Also, order an impartial investigation into this case and dismiss this court's Order of Submission.

Submitted to this court on August 5, 2005.

Willard D. Gross, pro se

Rule 24 Order of Submission

Section A: States: The parties shall prepare and present to the court as order of submission.

Section E: States: The conclusion date of a trial or hearing at which a commission or hearing officer shall preside shall be that date when an order of submission is entered by the commissioner or hearing officer.

Circuit Judge Goodwine, entered her order of submission on July 28, 2005. At this date, there had never been a hearing on any issue or the parties had not prepared and presented to the court an order of submission. Like Willard stated in his address to the court on August 5, 2005. Judge Goodwine filed her order of submission, so she could Overrule Willard's two appeals and motions at any time.

On 8/01/2005 Willard filed motion to dismiss Circuit Judge Goodwine's Order of Submission. This Motion was heard on August 5, 2005. At this hearing Judge Goodwine refused to allow Willard to address the court. She overruled Willard's Motion to dismiss her Order of Submission.

(4) On August 8, 2005, Willard, files a Motion for the

Disqualification of Circuit Judge Pamela Goodwine to be heard on August 12, 2005. Willard, showed up for court on August 12, 2005. Judge Goodwine called for hearing Willard's Motion to dismiss Fred Irtz's Counter Statement. She tried to turn ever thing around and make Fred Irtz's Response look like his excuses for filing his Counter-statement 4 days late was the real thing.

Judge Goodwine was trying to keep Willard from addressing the court as why Fred Irtz, counter-statement was 4 days late in serving Willard and the court. Judge Goodwine was giving Fred's address to the court for him and she was the judge. Judge Goodwine overruled Willard's Motion. This is when, Willard stated her bias reasons why Judge Goodwine should recuse herself from the bench. On August 15, 2005, Judge Goodwine issued an order. ***(See App. 10)***

On August 22, 2005, Willard filed strong objections to the Special Assignment of Circuit Judge Sheila Isaac. In his WHEREFORE Willard D. Gross moves Judge Isaac to recuse herself IMMEDIATELY. The previous time that she was falsely assigned to this case, Willard filed a motion for her to recuse herself from this case. Judge Isaac overruled Willard's Motion and bias, wrongfully dismiss his appeal.

Judge Goodwine in her Order recusing herself. She left some instructions. " The randomly drawn division will review all pending motions, schedule any required hearings, and determine the merits of the appeal" SO ORDERED THIS 15th day of August, 2005.

Judge Sheila Isaac, was in charge now, she did what she wanted to. She was then and is now and always will be totally bias against Willard D. Gross. Judge Isaac, did not respond to Willard's Objections and his move for the court to recuse herself. A response was due.

On August 31, 2005, Judge Isaac issued an Order deciding this case without a discussion or hearing on Willard's Motion to dismiss Fred Irtz's counter-statement on the grounds, that he serviced and filing his counter-statement four days late. The facts in this matter would dismiss Fred Irtz Counter-Statement. In Judge Isaac's actions, she allowed Fred Irtz to file a response to Willard's motion for discretionary review with the Court of Appeal. Fred's counter-statement should have been dismissed under CR 72.12 Judge Isaac, does not follow the Rules and Statutes of Law.

Judge Isaac also refused to hear Willard's appeal of District Probate Judge Ransdell. Willard filed a proper Motion before the Probate Court. Judge Ransdell, refuse to allow Willard to raise his proper Motion he filed before the Probate Court. Judge Ransdell's used false grounds to deny Willard from raising his motion before the court.

Judge Ransdell stated that Willard did not file a Motion with the Probate Court. This was Judge Ransdell argument. Willard's argument was that he did file a Motion before the court. Willard filed a Motion to disqualify Judge Ransdell. Judge Ransdell, issued an Order Overruling Willard's Motion to disqualify the three Judges, District Judge Maria Ransdell, Circuit Court Judges, Pamela Goodwine and Sheila Isaac, in their action, have covered up the fact that Fred Irtz is not legally the administrator of C.P. Gross' Estate.

Willard filed a Motion for discretionary review with The Supreme Court of Kentucky. Fred Irtz was late to file a response to Willard's review. The Supreme Court Clerk refused to accept Fred's Response. This showed some Justice. Fred Irtz did not try to re-file his response to the Clerk of the Ky., Supreme Court.

In that Fred Irtz, did not file a response. Fred Irtz does not have standing to file a Response to Willard's Petition for Writ of Certiorari. Willard request this court

to notify Mr. Irtz of this fact. Willard also, filed a Motion to dismiss Judge Isaac's Opinion Affirming, on the ground she did not sign her "Opinion Affirming."

The Supreme Court of Kentucky passed Willard's Motion. Then denied the Motion. Willard request this court to dismiss Judge Isaac's Opinion Affirming for not signing her Order and that she denied Willard access to the court and due process of law. Judge Isaac refused to follow Circuit Court Judge Pamela Goodwine's instructions. "The randomly drawn division " will " review all pending motions, schedule any required hearings, and determine the merits of the appeal."

Judge Isaac knew that, by Rules and Laws Fred's counter-statement had to be dismissed. Judge Isaac and Husband, both, knew that Fred Irtz appointment as Fiduciary was a fraud.

Judge Sheila Isaac denied Willard a hearing period. She violated Willard's First and Fifth Amendments of The Constitution to the United States. Willard's right to ACCESS to the court and DUE PROCCESS of LAW.

Office of the Clerk
SUPREME COURT OF KENTUCKY
02/23/2006

ENCLOSURE: Received from Respondent, Response to Motion for Discretionary Review - Returned as late – Due by 2 – 21. CC: Willard D. Gross.

On May 10, 2006, the Supreme Court of Kentucky, with out any Response filed against Willard's Review. The Supreme Court issued an,"Order Denying Discretionary Review" This is showing the Supreme Court of Kentucky has a bias against Willard D. Gross.

Fred Irtz, has committed Bank Fraud and Mail Fraud intended to commit Bank Robbery and used US Mail to up his intended robbery of the bank account of C. P. Gross' Estate 25,578.88, Under Title 18, Section 1341 (1) (2) and

Section 1344. In this case.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

Willard D. Gross, caught three bias Judges in this case. District Probate Court, Judge Maria Ransdell. Willard filed a proper Motion to be heard before her court. She used a false reason for not allowing Willard to raise his Motion before the court. Judge Ransdell.

Argues that Willard did not have a Motion before the Court. Willard Argument was that he did have a Motion before the court.

Judge Isaac states: The appellant argues the district court erred in refusing to hear testimony concerning Mr. Fred Irtz's appointment as administrator. Judge Isaac's statement is a Blatant Lie.

The district court's argument was that Willard did not have a Motion before the court. Willard's argument was he did have a Motion before the court.
(see certified testimony above)

Judge Isaac stated: A blatant lie when she stated: The legal issue of Mr. Irtz's status as Administrator of the estate of Caleb Powers Gross, has been well adjudicated and the court has established through its rulings that Mr. Irtz's position as Administrator is proper. This is the kind of Blatant Lie that will send the, JUDGE TO HELL

Judge Isaac states: The District Court's Order to to reduce the bond was premised on the fact that the Administrator had properly distributed substantially all of the Estate's assets, which made the prior bond no longer a necessary safeguard.

In this Judge Isaac, stated another Blatant Lie Fred Irtz did not produce any fact that the administrator had properly distributed any of the Estates assets.

Judge Isaac and her Husband both, knows that Fred's appointment is a fraud. This is why both, have falsely been appointed as Judges of this case six (6) times. This to cover up Fred's forged appointment. Fred Irtz, has over paid himself as Administrator more than $100,000.00 dollars. Fred Irtz, has never filed a periodic accounting of the Estate in his 9 years as administrator. Willard ask the court to raise Fred's Fred's bond to $1,000,000.00. This to cover robbery, of the estate, interest and penalty. Judge Ransdell was bragging that Willard won this hearing. Then reduced Fred's bond from $585,000.00 dollars to $30.000.00.

Judge Isaac states: Willard Gross' recurring request for recusal. Two of Willard's request for recusal was made to Circuit Judge Sheila Isaac. She has a hate for Willard D. Gross. Judge Isaac should have recuse herself both times that Willard request for her to recuse. The first time Judge Isaac turned her back on Willard. Then dismissed Willard's pleadings.

Judge Isaac then told the truth, that she did not have Jurisdiction of this case. Judge Isaac refused to cancel her dismissing of Willard's pleadings.

Judge Ransdell did not make a complaint about about Willard move for her recusal. This complaining was only Judge Isaac who should be reprimanded.

Willard had learned enough about Mr. and Mrs. Paisley, that both, were supporting a thief a Bank thief. of C.P Gross' Estate Bank account and plotting with others to rob the estate for over $25,000.00 from the estates bank. His Name is FREDERICK G. IRTZ.

Willard has been in this case since it started and filed some hundred pleadings in this case pro se. Willard has filed 10 or more motions for the termination of Fred Irtz's unlawful appointment as Fiduciary of Willard D. Gross' father CALEB POWERS GROSS. The court's Play tricks with Willard's Motion.

One trick was to call his motion before the court. The first words from the Judges mouth. The Judge says to Willard. Mr. Gross I am overruling your Motion. Willard would objected, the judge would accept. Judge would never issue any Order dismissing Willard's Motion to Terminate Fred Irtz unlawful appointment. No Order no appeal. There is no way that Fred Irtz's appointment been adjudicated. Willard's Motion to Terminate Fred Irtz unlawful appointment is still before the court.

Willard moves this court to order a hearing on Fred Irtz's appointment as Fiduciary of C.P. Gross' Estate and order an accounting of the estate. Willard has filed several motions for periodic accountings. All Overruled with out issuing an ORDER.

Willard has served several subpoena in this case, to Fred Irtz and Judge Julia Tackett, The Judge who falsely appointed Fred Irtz as Successor Executor of C.P. Gross' estate in violation of every statute pertaining to the appointment of a Fiduciary.

Willard subpoena Fred Irtz to appear, in Circuit Court before Judge Lewis Paisley Court. The husband of Sheila Isaac. Attorney Fred Irtz did not show in court. Judge Paisley dismissed Willard's appeal.

Judge Paisley ruled for Fred in 4 straight Appeals. Any neutral Judge would have ruled for Willard. District Judge Julia Tackett would say let's send this case up to Judge Paisley. There was no drawing as to what Judge Willard's would get. Judge Tackett just send the case to Judge Paisley.

Judge Ransdell denied Willard EQUAL ACCESS to the court and denied him DUE PROCESS of law. Judge Ransdell made a false statement that Willard did not have a Motion with the court. This was her reason for not allowing Willard to raise his motion before the court.

Willard's argument was that he did have a Motion before the court. Willard had a Transcript made of this hearing held on April 14, 2005. He filed the original transcript with the District Probate Court on May 10, 2005. The evidence is there.

Willard had a copy of his motion with him at the hearing he tried to place the motion with Judge Ransdell. Judge Maria Ransdell lied when she stated that Willard did not have a motion before the court.

Judge Sheila Isaac in her "Opinion Affirming" stated several blatant lies about this case. If she was not so bias against Willard D. Gross' Judge Isaac should have held a hearing of this case, then Judge Isaac would not be telling so many blatant lies.

Willard appealed Judge Ransdell's Order in his Statement of Appeal. ***(See App. 5)***

In this Order Judge Isaac appointed herself as Judge of C.P. Gross' estate. She initialed the above Order. You can't read the two initialed or chicken marks that Judge Sheila Isaac marked on the above Order showing that she is the Chief Regional Judge. Most lawyers an and court house employees has never heard of the Eight Region of Judicial Circuit. Most know that Isaac is the Chief of Circuit Judges. Administrator of courts is the One Party that makes the random drawing of Judges. This doesn't make it so.

This random drawing of Sheila Isaac was done under Sheila Isaac. It sounds just like the last drawing of Sheila Isaac. Judge Sheila Isaac made sure that her named was placed as Judge for C.P. Gross' Estate. This, is one reason for 6 straight random appointments of husband and wife Sheila and Paisley. One other reason was District Judge Julia Tackett.

In Judge Isaac's first appointment Willard demand to watch the drawing. The secretary told Willard to sit down across the room. Willard sit down, he was at least 10 feet away from her and the computer, all Willard could see was the secretary sitting in front of a computer. The secretary would hit a key on the computer, Then she would adjust the computer and hid a key again. She did this for several times and several minutes. finally, she looked over at Willard and said, you have drawn the 7th Circuit. Sheila Isaac.

Willard ask a clerk in the appeals office to watch her make a random drawing of a judge in this case. She agreed. It took her no more than a minute. The other Clerk kept drawing until she came up with the 7th Circuit Division Sheila Isaac, Wife of Judge Paisley.

On August 22, 2005, Willard filed his OBJECTIONS to the appointment of Sheila Isaac in this case and in his WHEREFORE, Willard moved the court to recuse herself immediately; Also, that this case be removed from Fayette County to Federal Court. This makes at least six request for this transfer.

Fred Irtz, has committed Bank Fraud and Mail Fraud in this case, Fred Irtz has over paid himself for more than $100,000.00 dollars that he could not legally pay himself. Fred issued himself checks on the Bank Account of the Estate of C. P. Gross.

Sheila Isaac and her husband, Judge Lewis Paisley has been, by so called random drawing has been drawn six times in this case. Judge Paisley was drawn 4 times straight. Judge Isaac was drawn 2 times. This is clear out of reason

Willard filed a motion to recuse Judge Isaac in her first appearance in this case. She overruled Willard's Motion and dismissed Willard's appeal. In a short time she is back again. This time Willard filed his objections to Judge Isaac and moved the court to recuse herself.

On August 31, 2005, Judge Isaac with out answering Willard's objections and his move to the court for Judge Isaac to recuse herself. Judge Isaac, issued a Opinion Affirming. This with out giving Willard a hearing on the Motions he had raised on appeal and motions that Willard had raised with the circuit court.

Judge Isaac refused to follow Judge Goodwine's instructions. " The randomly drawn division will review all pending motions, schedule any required hearings, and determine the merits of the appeal. SO ORDERED this 15th day of August 2005. Judge Pamela Goodwine has denied Willard ACCESS to the Court and DUE PROSESS of LAW.

Judge Sheila Isaac, and her husband, Judge Lewis Paisley, who receive Willard's first four appeals. Judge Isaac received two of Willard's appeals. Both, Judges have such dislike for Willard D. Gross, they get a joy to rule against Willard, even when they know that he had the correct Rules and Law.

Willard opens Judge Isaac's bag of blatant lies to this court. She never gave Willard a hearing before the Court. The first time Willard was to have a Court hearing before Judge Isaac, she turned her back on Willard and read Fred Irtz pleadings, she stated she like Fred's pleadings and ruled for Fred Irtz and dismissed Willard's case with out a look or discussion.

She then figured it out that with a little help. She did not have jurisdiction of the case and made a ruling that she did not have jurisdictions over the case. The mad woman let her ruling stand. Judge Isaac held that her ruling for Fred Irtz was right andWillard's Case was dismissed. Willard appealed his case, that Judge Isaac was wrong when she dismissed his case.
Judge Isaac did not have jurisdiction of his case.
Judge Isaac did not have authority to dismiss.
Willard raised two or three case's and a Judge stated that Judge Isaac was wrong. He did not understand how she came up with a dismissal, when you don't have Jurisdiction.

Willard lost his case. As bias as Judge Isaac is, She was bias because of her hate for Willard and her love for Fred Irtz. Fred Irtz, robbed the estate for over $100,000.00 dollars, for false pay. Maybe the money was her prey,

Judge Isaac does not care how many of her cases that Willard appeals to the Court of Appeals. Her Husband Lewis Paisley is the Chief Judge of the Court of appeals.

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
APPEAL BRANCH
SEVENTH DIVISION

IN RE: THE ESTATE OF CALEB GROSS,
DECEDENT
No. 05-xx-0023
OPINION AFFIRMING

This matter is before the Court on appeal from Fayette District Court on appeal from the District Court probate Branch. On appeal the appellant argues (1) The District Court erred in refusing to hear testimony concerning Mr. Fred Irtz's Appointment as administrator. (This is a Blatant lie by Judge Isaac)

Judge Isaac makes up her blatant lies for the benefit of Fred Irtz's who appointed himself as administrator of C.P. Gross' Estate on July 15. 1997, by filing a unauthorized pleading with the probate court and gave himself the name of The Administrator of C.P. Gross' Estate. Fred Irtz filed a motion for fees. He admits in this Motion that he has not assumed the office as administrator. Fred Irtz had not taken the oath.

If Judge Isaac had took time to read and hear Willard's Motions as Judge Goodwine instructed

her to do, in her Order issued on August 15, 2005, which states. In the last paragraph. "The randomly drawn division "will" review all pending motions, schedule any required hearings, and determine the merits of the appeal.
SO ORDERED this 15th day of August, 2005."

Judge Sheila Isaac, refused to stand on Judge Goodwine's instructions, instead the mad Judge Isaac who hate's Willard Gross as does her husband Judge Paisley who's name was drawn 4 straight times, of 8 Judges, in the cases on appeal from the District Court. Nobody would believe this.

Now, Judge Paisley's wife Judge Sheila Isaac's Paisley has been drawn 2 straight times as Judge in this case. Willard did not lose every case. The fixed drawing of two Paisley's, cost Willard to loose all of his appeals. No body believes that the Paisley Judge's could be drawn 6 straight.

Judge Lewis Paisley's, name was drawn 4 straight times to his tally. He moved up. The Governor needed a Judge like Paisley. He made Chief Judge of the court of appeals in short time. Judge Paisley had the highest Reversals rate 35%. in Circuit Court. Willard will say Judge Paisley was not sent to Frankfort because of his brilliant Judge ship.

The Judges in this case has made sure that nobody. I mean nobody, touches the crookedness attorney in town. Fred Irtz, has paid himself $101,742.20. dollar plus the last $30,000.00 dollars out of the estate. The judges and lawyers go along with Fred. As they have robed the Estate together. Under KRS 395.150 The compensation of an,

Administrator...for services as such, shall not exceed Five percent of the value of the personal of the decedent. KRS 395.150 establishes a ceiling for an administrator's Commission, not a base Clay V. Eager, Ky., 444 S.W. 2d 124,127 (1969). A administrator can only collect pay as a administrator. He cannot collect money for litigation. Fred Irtz has collected 6 times more money from the estate than he can legally pay his self.

Willard filed a Motion to be heard in District Probate Court on April 14, 2005. At hearing on April 14, 2005. Willard raised his Motion before the court. The Court stated that Willard did not have a Motion before the court. The Court's argument was that,

Willard did not have a Motion before the court. Willard's argument was that Willard did have a Motion before the Court. Willard had a transcript made of this hearing. Willard had the original filed with the probate court. The transcript and the pleadings he filed with the probate prove Willard was right.

Judge Ransdell violated Willard's 1st and 5th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution. His rights to Access to the court and Process of Law.

Judge Goodwine violated Willard's 1st and 5th Amendment. She came to her senses and recused her self from this case. Judge Judge Goodwine knowingly denied Willard Access to the Court and Due Process knowingly denied Willard total Due Process of Law. She did this by denying to give Willard a hearing before the court.

Judge Sheila Isaac violated Willard's First and Fifth Amendment to The United states Consitution. Judge Isaac DENIED Willard ACCESS to the COURT and DENIED Willard DUE PROCESS of LAW. Also Judge Isaac refused to follow and honor Circuit Judge Pamela Goodwine's ORDER issued on August 15, 2005.

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT

Judge Sheila Isaac has denied Willard Access to the courts and denied Willard Due Process of Law. Judge Isaac did not sign her Opinion Affirming she issued on August 31, 2005. Willard moves this court to dismiss Judges Isaac's Opinion Affirming for failure to sign said Order or Opinion Affirming.

Circuit Judge Sheila Isaac stated a blatant lie when she states: The legal issue of Mr. Irtz's status as Administrator of the Estate of Caleb Powers Gross, has been well adjudicated and the court has established through its ruling that Mr. Irtz's position as Administrator is proper. Judge Isaac has knowingly stated a blatant lie that she should be reprimanded.

Willard and the heirs have filed at least 10 motions for the termination of Fred Irtz's unlawful appointment. The courts has refused to allow these 10 motion to be brought before the court from July 7, 1997 to present. The Judges that have denied Willard's Motions to Terminate Fred Irtz, appointment is as follows:

District Judge Julia Tackett from May 2, 1997. She recused herself on May 5th 1998. Because of this motion being before the court. Judge Tackett appointed District Judge Kevin Horne, to preside over this case and that he would not hear Willard's motions before the court. Willard's motions have never been heard for Fred Irtz appointment to be adjudicated, Willard's

Motions to Terminate Fred Irtz appointment must be brought before the court and heard. If this happens, under rules and statutes of law Fred Irtz has a problem. District Judge Kevin Horne to May 2004. District Judge Maria Ransdell to present. Judge Isaac has tarnished her Judge ship for a crook like Fred Irtz. Willard moves this court to order a hearing to be held on this matter.
(See App.9)

CONCLUSION

This case presents serious violations of petitioner's Constitutional rights under the 1st and 5th Amendment The United States Constitution. There has been three Kentucky judges, in this case. All three Judges has completely violated the petitioner's rights (1) Access to the Court and (2) Due Process of Law.

One Judge in the District court, two Judges in the Circuit Court, all three Judges has violated petitioner's 1st and 5th Amendments to the U. S. Constitution. They have denied petitioner his rights to raise his properly filed motions with the courts. Their actions denied

petitioner total Access to the Court and totally denied petitioner Due Process of Law. This court should grant a writ of certiorari and vacate the decision below.

Willard D. Gross, respectfully request this court to appoint a U. S. Supreme Court attorney for him. Willard D. Gross is not capable.

Respectfully submitted

Willard D Gross

Willard D. Gross pro se
6718 Russell Cave Road
Lexington, Ky., 40511
859-299-6106

Transcript of hearing held before Judge Maria Ransdell on April 14, 2005 attached to this writ. Face of Motion Filed by Willard on April 11, 2005.

Willard D. Gross

Willard D. Gross, pro se

APPENDICE

App. 1

SUPREME COURT OF KENTUCKY

2006-SC-00038-D
(2005-CA-2007)

WILLARD D. GROSS MOVANT

V FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
NO. 2005-XX-OO23

FRED IRTZ, ADMINISTRATOR
OF C. P. GROSS RESPONDENT

ORDER DENYING DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

The Movant's motion to dismiss the trial court's Opinion Affirming is denied.
The motion for review of the decision of the Court Of Appeals is denied.

ENTERED May 10, 2006.

_____
Chief Justice

App. 2

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

COURT OF APPEALS

NO. 2005-CA-002007-D

WILLARD D. GROSS **MOVANT**

On Motion for Discretionary Review
From Fayette Circuit Court
V. **Action 05-xx-00023**

FRED IRTZ, ADIMINISTRATOR
OF C. P. GROSS **RESPONDENT**

ORDER

Denying Motion for Discretionary Review

The Court has considered the motion for Discretionary review and the response thereto and, being sufficiently advised, Orders that the motion be Denied.

Entered Dec. 12 2005.

_____

Judge, Court of Appeals

App. 3

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
APPEAL BRANCH
SEVENTH DIVISION

IN RE: The Estate of Caleb Gross, Decedent.

No. 05-XX-0023

OPINION AFFIRMING

This matter is before the court appeal from the Fayette District Court, probate branch On appeal the appellant argues; 1) the District Court erred in refusing to hear testimony concerning Mr. Fred Irtz's appointment as administrator 2) the District Court erred in ordering a reduction in the Administrator's bond; and 3) the District Court erred in overruling Appellant's request for recusal of Judge Maria Ransdell.

Appellant first argues that the District Court wrongfully refused to hear his motion to require the Administrator, Mr. Irtz, to testify regarding his status as administrator. The legal issue of Mr. Irtz's status as administrator of Caleb Powers Gross, has well-adjudicated and the courts have established through its rulings that Mr. Irtz's position is proper. Given that np current motion was before the probate Court regarding the status of the administrator that had not been previously litigated and settled, this court finds that it was within the District Court's discretion to deny the appellant's motion to take the testimony of Mr. Irtz.

Second, Appellant argues that it was an error for the court to Order the Administrator's bond to be reduced to $30.000.00 and to order that surety was no longer required on the Administrator's bond.

The District Court's order to reduce the bond was Premised on the fact that the Administrator had properly distributed substantially all of the estates assets, which makes the prior bond no longer a necessary safeguard. This court holds that the reduction of bond was reasonable and within the discretion of the District Court.

Third, Appellant's argues for recusal of Judge Ransdell. After reviewing the record and noting The Appellant's recurring request for recusal, This court affirms the District Court's Order Overruling the appellant's motion requesting the recusal of Judge Ransdell. The record reveals no conflict of interest or appearance of impropriety that would neccssitate recusing Judge Ransdell from this case.

For the foregoing reasons, this Court affirms The ruling of the trial court and thereby upholds The District Court's Order.

So Ordered this 31st day of August, 2005.

No Signature of Sheila Isaac.

_____

Judge Fayette Circuit Court

All of the above Opinion Affirming is made up of nothing but Blatant Lies by Sheila Isaac. WDG.

App. 5

C0MM0NWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
FAYETTE DISTRICT COURT
PROBATE BRANCH
THIRD DIVISION
N0. 91-P-1247
ORDER

IN RE: The Estate of Caleb P. Gross, Deceased:

The matter having come to the attention of the court and the court being sufficiently advised; The Motion of Willard D. Gross requesting recusal of this court is OVERRULED. Signed this 18th day of April, 2005,

HON. MARIE RANSDELL
Judge, Fayette District Court

App. 6

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
APPEAL BRANCH
FOURTH DIVISION
IN RE: The Estate of Caleb P. Gross, Decedent
05-XX-0023

ORDER

This matter came before the Court on Motion of Willard D. Gross for the undersigned to recuse herself from ruling on pending and the merits of this appeal following this court's signing an Order of Submission. After reviewing the record, the pleadings, hearing arguments from Mr. Gross, and being otherwise Sufficiently advised; IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion be and the same is here SUSTAINED. The Order of Submission previously signed by this court is hereby STRICKEN from the record. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court hereby recuse herself from hearing or ruling on any further matters associated with this case. The Court instructs the Clerk to assign this to another division of the Fayette Circuit Court by random selection. The randomly drawn division will review all pending motions, schedule any required hearings, and determine the merits of appeal.

SO ORDERED THIS 15TH day of August, 2005.

_____
Pamela R. Goodwine
Judge, Fayette Circuit Court
Fourth Division

On August 18, 2005. Document filed, Assignment of Special Judge

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
EIGHTH REGION OF JUDICIAL CIRCUITS
AUGUST 16, 2005
In Re: The Estate of Caleb P. Gross,

ASSIGNMENT OF SPECIAL JUDGE

It having been brought to the Court's attention that the Honorable Judge Pamela Goodwine, of the Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit, having been disqualified pursuant to KRS 26A.015, and the Court being sufficiently advised.

By random selection the 7th Division was drawn by computer, therefore Judge Sheila Isaac, 7 th Division of the Fayette Circuit Court, is designated by the undersigned, pursuant to the authority of the order of the Supreme Court of Kentucky entered October 18, 1983, effective December 1, 1983, to preside in this matter until final disposition.

_____
CHIEF REGIONAL JUDGE
Eight Region of Judicial Court

App. 8

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
APPEAL BRANCH
FOURTH DIVISION
IN RE: The Estate of Caleb P. Gross, Decedent
05-XX-0023

ORDER

This matter came before the Court on Motion of Willard D. Gross for the undersigned to recuse herself from ruling on pending and the merits of this appeal following this court's signing an Order of Submission. After reviewing the record, the pleadings, hearing arguments from Mr. Gross, and being otherwise Sufficiently advised; IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion be and the same is here SUST AINED. The Order of Submission previously signed by this court is hereby STRICKEN from the record. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court hereby recuse herself from hearing or ruling on any further matters associated with this case. The Court instructs the Clerk to assign this to another division of the Fayette Circuit Court by random selection. The randomly drawn division will review all pending motions, schedule any required hearings, and determine the merits of appeal.

SO ORDERED THIS 15TH day of August, 2005.

_____
Pamela R. Goodwine
Judge, Fayette Circuit Court
Fourth Division

App. 9

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
EIGHTH REGION OF JUDICIAL CIRCUITS
August 16, 2005

In Re: The Estate of Caleb P. Gross

ASSIGNMENT OF SPECIAL JUDGE

It having been brought to the Court's attention that The Honorable Judge Pamela Goodwine , of the Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit, having been disqualified pursuant In KRS 26A.015, and the Court being sufficiently advised.

By random selection the 7th Division was drawn by Computer, therefore Judge Sheila Isaac, 7th division of the Fayette Circuit Court, is designated by the undersigned, pursuant to the authority of the order of the Supreme Court of Kentucky entered October 18, 1983, effective 1, 1983, to preside in this matter until final disposition.

CHIEF REGIONAL JUDGE
EIGHT REGION OF JUDICIAL

In this Order Judge Isaac appointed herself as Judge of C.P. Gross' estate. She initialed the above Order. You can't read the two initialed or chicken marks that Judge Sheila Isaac marked on the above Order showing that she is the Chief Regional Judge.

App. 10

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
EIGHTH REGION OF JUDICIAL CIRCUITS
AUGUST 16, 2005
In Re: The Estate of Caleb P. Gross,

ASSIGNMENT OF SPECIAL JUDGE

It having been brought to the Court's attention that the Honorable Judge Pamela Goodwine, of the Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit, having been disqualified pursuant to KRS 26A.015, and the Court being sufficiently advised.

By random selection the 7th Division was drawn by computer, therefore Judge Sheila Isaac, 7 th Division of the Fayette Circuit Court, is designated by the undersigned, pursuant to the authority of the order of the Supreme Court of Kentucky entered October 18, 1983, effective December 1, 1983, to preside in this matter until final disposition.

CHIEF REGIONAL JUDGE
Eight Region of Judicial Court

App. 11

FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT
EIGHTH REGION OF JUDICIAL CIRCUITS
August 16, 2005

In Re: The Estate of Caleb P. Gross

ASSIGNMENT OF SPECIAL JUDGE

It having been brought to the Court's attention that The Honorable Judge Pamela Goodwine , of the Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit, having been disqualified pursuant In KRS 26A.015, and the Court being sufficiently advised. By random selection the 7th Division was drawn by Computer, therefore Judge Sheila Isaac, 7th division of the Fayette Circuit Court, is designated by the undersigned, pursuant to the authority of the order of Supreme Court of Kentucky entered October 18, 1983, effective December 1, 1983, to preside in this matter until final disposition.

_____
CHIEF REGIONAL JUDGE
EIGHT REGION OF JUDICIAL

In this Order Judge Isaac appointed herself as Judge Of C.P. Gross' Estate. She initialed the above ORDER. You can't read the two initialed or chicken marks that Judge Sheila Isaac marked on the above Order showing that she is the Chief Regional Judge.